

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TOM LUU

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. 5:11-CV-01523 EJD

v.

ALLSTATE INSURANCE  
COMPANY and DOES 1 through  
50, inclusive

Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
☒ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

- ☐ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ☒ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*  
☐ other requested deadline \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: October 31, 2011

/s/ John Watson

Attorney for Plaintiff  
TOM LUU

Dated: October 31, 2011

/s/ Megan Barker

Attorney for Defendant  
ALLSTATE INSURANCE  
COMPANY

~~FILED~~  
**PROPOSED ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration  
☒ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  
☐ Mediation  
☐ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- ☒ 90 days from the date of this order.  
☐ other \_\_\_\_\_

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 1, 2011



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
Hon. Edward J. Davila